arXiv:1601.05778v1 [math.CA] 21 Jan 2016

The Maillet–Malgrange type theorem for generalized power series

R. R. Gontsov, I. V. Goryuchkina

Abstract

There is proposed the Maillet–Malgrange type theorem for a generalized power series (having complex power exponents) formally satisfying an algebraic ordinary differential equation. The theorem describes the growth of the series coefficients.

1 Introduction

Let us consider an ordinary differential equation (ODE)

$$F(z,u,\delta u,\dots,\delta^m u)=0 \tag{1}$$

of order m with respect to the unknown u, where $F(z,u_0,u_1,\dots,u_m)\not\equiv 0$ is a polynomial of $m+2$ variables, $\delta=z\frac{d}{dz}$.

The classical Maillet theorem [10] asserts that any formal power series solution $\varphi=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}c_nz^n\in\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ of (1) is a power series of Gevrey order $1/k$ for some $k\in\mathbb{R}_{>0}\cup\{\infty\}$. This means that the power series

$$f=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{c_n}{\Gamma(1+n/k)}\,z^n$$

converges in a neighbourhood of zero, where Γ is the Euler gamma-function. In other words,

$$|c_n|\leqslant A\,B^n\,(n!)^{1/k}$$

for some $A,B>0$.

First exact estimates for the Gevrey order of a power series formally satisfying an ODE were obtained by J.-P. Ramis [13] in the linear case,

$$Lu=a_m(z)\delta^m u+a_{m-1}(z)\delta^{m-1}u+\ldots+a_0(z)u=0,\qquad a_i\in\mathbb{C}\{z\}.$$

He has proved that such a power series is of the *exact* Gevrey order $1/k\in\{0,1/k_1,\dots,1/k_s\}$, where $k_1<\ldots<k_s<\infty$ are all of the positive slopes of the Newton polygon $\mathcal{N}(L)$ of the operator L. This polygon is defined as the boundary curve of a convex hull of a union $\bigcup_{i=0}^{m}X_i$,

$$X_i=\{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2\mid x\leqslant i,\ y\geqslant \operatorname{ord}_0 a_i(z)\},\qquad i=0,1,\dots,m$$

(see Fig. 1). The exactness of the Gevrey order means that there is no $k'>k$ such that the power series is of the Gevrey order $1/k'$.



Figure 1: The Newton polygon $\mathcal{N}(L)$ with two positive slopes $k_1=(\operatorname{ord}_0 a_j-\operatorname{ord}_0 a_i)/(j-i)$, $k_2=(\operatorname{ord}_0 a_m-\operatorname{ord}_0 a_j)/(m-j)$.

The result of Ramis has been further generalized by B. Malgrange and Y. Sibuya for a non-linear ODE of the general form (1).

Theorem 1 (Malgrange [11]). *Let $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ satisfy the equation (1), that is $F(z,\Phi)=0$, where $\Phi=(\varphi,\delta\varphi,\dots,\delta^m\varphi)$, and $\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_m}(z,\Phi)\neq 0$. Then φ is a power series of Gevrey order $1/k$, where k is the least of all the positive slopes of the Newton polygon $\mathcal{N}(L_\varphi)$ of a linear operator*

$$L_\varphi=\sum_{i=0}^{m}\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_i}(z,\Phi)\,\delta^i$$

(or $k=+\infty$, if $\mathcal{N}(L_\varphi)$ has no positive slopes).

The refinement of Theorem 1 belongs to Y. Sibuya [15, App. 2]. This claims that φ is a power series of the *exact* Gevrey order $1/k\in\{0,1/k_1,\dots,1/k_s\}$, where $k_1<\ldots<k_s<\infty$ are all of the positive slopes of the Newton polygon $\mathcal{N}(L_\varphi)$.

In the paper we study *generalized* power series solutions of (1) of the form

$$\varphi=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}c_n z^{s_n},\qquad c_n\in\mathbb{C},\qquad s_n\in\mathbb{C},\tag{2}$$

with the power exponents satisfying conditions

$$0\leqslant\operatorname{Re}s_0\leqslant\operatorname{Re}s_1\leqslant\ldots,\qquad\lim_{n\to\infty}\operatorname{Re}s_n=+\infty$$

(the latter, in particular, implies that a set of exponents having a fixed real part is finite).

Note that substituting the series (2) into the equation (1) makes sense, as only a finite number of terms in φ contribute to any term of the form cz^s in the expansion of $F(z,\Phi)=F(z,\varphi,\delta\varphi,\dots,\delta^m\varphi)$ in powers of z. Indeed, $\delta^j\varphi=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}c_n s_n^j z^{s_n}$ and an equation $s=s_{n_0}+s_{n_1}+\ldots+s_{n_l}$ has a finite number of solutions $(s_{n_0},s_{n_1},\dots,s_{n_l})$, since $0\leqslant\operatorname{Re}s_n\to+\infty$. Furthermore, for any integer N an inequality $\operatorname{Re}(s_{n_0}+s_{n_1}+\ldots+s_{n_l})\leqslant N$ has also a finite number of solutions, so that powers of z in the expansion of $F(z,\Phi)$ can be ordered by the increasing of real parts. Thus, one may correctly define the notion of a formal solution of (1) in the form of a generalized power series. In particular, the Painlevé III, V, VI equations are known to have such formal solutions (see [14], [3], [8], [12]).

For the generalized power series (2) one may naturally define the *valuation*

$$\operatorname{val}\varphi=s_0,$$

and this is also well defined for any polynomial in $z,\varphi,\delta\varphi,\dots,\delta^m\varphi$.

The main result of the paper is an analogue of the Maillet theorem (more precisely, of the Malgrange theorem) for generalized power series.

Theorem 2. *Let the generalized power series (2) formally satisfy the equation (1), $\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_m}(z,\Phi)\neq 0$, and for each $i=0,1,\dots,m$ one have*

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_i}(z,\Phi)=A_i z^{\lambda}+B_i z^{\lambda_i}+\ldots,\qquad\operatorname{Re}\lambda_i>\operatorname{Re}\lambda,\tag{3}$$

where not all A_i equal zero. Let k be the least of all the positive slopes of the Newton polygon $\mathcal{N}(L_\varphi)$ (or $k=+\infty$, if $\mathcal{N}(L_\varphi)$ has no positive slopes). Then for any sector S of sufficiently small radius with the vertex at the origin and of the opening less than 2π, the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{c_n}{\Gamma(1+s_n/k)}\,z^{s_n}$$

converges uniformly in S.

The Newton polygon of L_φ in the case of the generalized power series φ is defined similarly to the classical case, as the boundary curve of a convex hull of a union

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^{m}\Big\{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2 \mid x\leqslant i,\ y\geqslant \operatorname{Re}\operatorname{val}\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_i}(z,\Phi)\Big\}.$$

Note that $k=+\infty$ in Theorem 2 if and only if $A_m\neq 0$. In this case φ does converge in S, which has been already proved in [6] by the majorant method. Here we consider the case $k<+\infty$ using other known methods rather than the majorant one (of course, the convergence could also be proved by these methods).

The first step (Sections 2, 3) consists of representing the generalized power series solution (2) of (1) by a multivariate Taylor series and follows from the "grid-basedness" of this formal solution. The latter means that there are $\nu_1,\dots,\nu_r\in\mathbb{C}$ such that any term cz^s of φ is of the form $c(z^{\nu_1})^{m_1}\dots(z^{\nu_r})^{m_r}=cz^{m_1\nu_1+\dots+m_r\nu_r}$, for some $m_1,\dots,m_r\in\mathbb{Z}_+$. An earlier result of this kind is due to Grigoriev, Singer [7] studying generalized power series solutions with real exponents. According to later results [9], [1], more general (real) transseries solutions of a polynomial ODE are actually also grid-based (see [5] as an introduction to transseries). The second step (Section 4) consists of applying the implicit mapping theorem for Banach spaces of the obtained multivariate Taylor series.

2 Reduction of the ODE to a special form

As we mentioned above, we prove Theorem 2 in the case $k<+\infty$. This implies $A_m=0$ in the expansion (3) of $\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_m}(z,\Phi)$. Let $0\leqslant p<m$ be such that $A_p\neq 0$ and $A_i=0$ for all $i>p$. Then the minimal positive slope k of the Newton polygon $\mathcal{N}(L_\varphi)$ is

$$k=\min_{i>p}\frac{\operatorname{Re}\lambda_i-\operatorname{Re}\lambda}{i-p}. \tag{4}$$

First we will reduce the equation (1) to a special form. This is provided by a transformation

$$u=\sum_{n=0}^{\mu}c_nz^{s_n}+z^{s_\mu}v,$$

with $\mu\geqslant 0$ that will be chosen later. We use ideas of [11] adapted to the case of generalized power series.

The formal solution (2) can be represented in the form

$$\varphi=\sum_{n=0}^{\mu}c_nz^{s_n}+z^{s_\mu}\psi=\varphi_\mu+z^{s_\mu}\psi,\quad \operatorname{val}\psi=s_{\mu+1}-s_\mu.$$

Taking into consideration the equality $\delta(z^{s_\mu}\psi)=z^{s_\mu}(\delta+s_\mu)\psi$, we have the relations

$$\delta^i(z^{s_\mu}\psi)=z^{s_\mu}(\delta+s_\mu)^i\psi,\qquad i=1,\dots,m.$$

Therefore, denoting

$$\Phi=(\varphi,\delta\varphi,\dots,\delta^m\varphi)=\Phi_\mu+z^{s_\mu}\Psi,\qquad \Psi=(\psi_0,\psi_1,\dots,\psi_m)=(\psi,(\delta+s_\mu)\psi,\dots,(\delta+s_\mu)^m\psi),$$

and applying the Taylor formula to the relation $F(z,\Phi)=0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}0 \;=\; & F(z,\Phi_\mu+z^{s_\mu}\Psi)=F(z,\Phi_\mu)+z^{s_\mu}\sum_{i=0}^{m}\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_i}(z,\Phi_\mu)\psi_i+\\ &+\frac{1}{2}z^{2s_\mu}\sum_{i,j=0}^{m}\frac{\partial^2F}{\partial u_i\partial u_j}(z,\Phi_\mu)\psi_i\psi_j+\dots.\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

According to the assumptions of Theorem 2 and definition of the integer p, for each $i = 0, 1, \ldots, m$ the formal series $\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_i}(z, \Phi)$ is of the form

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_i}(z, \Phi) = A_i z^{\lambda} + B_i z^{\lambda_i} + \ldots, \qquad \operatorname{Re}\lambda_i > \operatorname{Re}\lambda,$$

where $A_i = 0$ for all $i > p$ and $A_p \neq 0$. Define a polynomial

$$L(\xi) = A_0 + A_1(\xi + s_\mu) + \ldots + A_p(\xi + s_\mu)^p \tag{6}$$

of degree p and choose an integer $\mu \geqslant 0$ such that the following three conditions i), ii), iii) hold.

i) $L(\xi) \neq 0 \quad \forall \xi$ with $\operatorname{Re}\xi > 0$;

ii) $\operatorname{Re}(s_{\mu+1} - s_\mu) > 0$;

iii) $\operatorname{Re} s_\mu > \operatorname{Re}\lambda + 2(m-p)k$.

Note that for each $i = 0, 1, \ldots, m$, the real part of

$$\operatorname{val}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_i}(z, \Phi) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_i}(z, \Phi_\mu)\right) = \operatorname{val}\left(z^{s_\mu}\sum_{j=0}^{m}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial u_i \partial u_j}(z, \Phi_\mu)\psi_j + \ldots\right)$$

is greater than $\operatorname{Re} s_\mu > \operatorname{Re}\lambda$. Therefore (see (3) for $\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_i}(z, \Phi)$),

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_i}(z, \Phi_\mu) = A_i z^{\lambda} + \widetilde{B}_i z^{\tilde{\lambda}_i} + \ldots, \qquad \operatorname{Re}\tilde{\lambda}_i > \operatorname{Re}\lambda. \tag{7}$$

Moreover, for $i > p$ we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\tilde{\lambda}_i \geqslant \operatorname{Re}\lambda + (i-p)k. \tag{8}$$

Indeed, if $\widetilde{B}_i z^{\tilde{\lambda}_i} = B_i z^{\lambda_i}$, then the above inequality follows from the definition (4) of the slope k, otherwise one has $\operatorname{Re}\tilde{\lambda}_i > \operatorname{Re} s_\mu$, and (8) follows from the condition iii) above. Thus, (7) and (8) imply a decomposition

$$z^{-\lambda}\sum_{i=0}^{m}\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_i}(z, \Phi_\mu)(\delta + s_\mu)^i = L(\delta) + L'(z, \delta), \tag{9}$$

where the polynomial L is defined by the formula (6), and exponents α in the monomials $z^\alpha(\delta + s_\mu)^i$ of the operator $L'(z, \delta)$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\operatorname{Re}\alpha > 0, \qquad \operatorname{Re}\alpha \geqslant (i-p)k.$$

From the relation (5), condition ii) and inequality $\operatorname{Re} s_\mu > \operatorname{Re}\lambda$ it follows that

$$\operatorname{Re}\operatorname{val} F(z, \Phi_\mu) > \operatorname{Re}(s_\mu + \lambda). \tag{10}$$

Finally, dividing the relation (5) by $z^{s_\mu + \lambda}$ and using (9), (10), and the condition iii), we obtain the equality of the form

$$L(\delta)\psi + L'(z, \delta)\psi + N(z, z^\nu\psi_0, z^\nu\psi_1, \ldots, z^\nu\psi_m) = 0, \qquad \nu = (m-p)k, \tag{11}$$

where the linear differential operators $L(\delta)$, $L'(z, \delta)$ are described above, and $N(z, u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_m)$ is a finite linear combination of monomials of the form

$$z^\beta u_0^{q_0} u_1^{q_1} \ldots u_m^{q_m}, \qquad \beta \in \mathbb{C},\ \operatorname{Re}\beta > 0,\ q_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Thus, the transformation $u = \varphi_\mu + z^{s_\mu} v$ reduces the equation (1) to an equation

$$L(\delta)v + L'(z, \delta)v + N(z, z^\nu v, z^\nu(\delta + s_\mu)v, \ldots, z^\nu(\delta + s_\mu)^m v) = 0, \tag{12}$$

with a formal solution $v = \psi$.

Remark 1. The condition i) is not used for the above reduction, but we add it from the beginning as this will be used in the sequel. In particular, i) implies that the coefficients c_n, $n \geqslant \mu + 1$, of the formal solution $\psi = \sum_{n=\mu+1}^{\infty} c_n z^{s_n - s_\mu}$ of (12) are uniquely determined by the coefficients $c_0, \ldots, c_\mu$ of the partial sum φ_μ of φ (whereas some of $c_0, \ldots, c_\mu$ themselves may be free parameters).

3 Representation of a generalized power series solution by a multivariate Taylor series

Let us define an additive semi-group G generated by a (finite) set consisting of the number ν and all the power exponents α, β of the variable z containing in the monomials $z^\alpha(\delta+s_\mu)^i$, $z^\beta u_0^{q_0}u_1^{q_1}\dots u_m^{q_m}$ of $L'(z,\delta)$, $N(z,u_0,u_1,\dots,u_m)$ respectively. Let $r_1,\dots,r_l$ be generators of this semi-group, that is,

$$G=\{m_1r_1+\ldots+m_lr_l \mid m_i\in\mathbb{Z}_+,\ \sum_{i=1}^{l}m_i>0\},\qquad \operatorname{Re} r_i>0.$$

As a consequence of the relation (11) and condition that the real parts of the power exponents α, β are positive, we have the following auxiliary lemma (details of the proof see in [6, Lemma 2]).

Lemma 1. *All the numbers s_n-s_μ, $n\geqslant\mu+1$, belong to the additive semi-group G.*

We may assume that the generators $r_1,\dots,r_l$ of G are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}$. This is provided by the following lemma [6, Lemma 3].

Lemma 2. *There are complex numbers $\rho_1,\dots,\rho_\tau$ linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}$, such that all $\operatorname{Re}\rho_i>0$ and an additive semi-group G' generated by them contains the above semi-group G generated by $r_1,\dots,r_l$.*

In what follows, for the simplicity of exposition we assume that G is generated by two numbers:

$$G=\{m_1r_1+m_2r_2 \mid m_{1,2}\in\mathbb{Z}_+,\ m_1+m_2>0\},\qquad \operatorname{Re} r_{1,2}>0.$$

In the case of an arbitrary number l of generators all constructions are analogous, only multivariate Taylor series in l rather than in two variables are involved.

We should estimate the growth of the coefficients c_n of the generalized power series

$$\psi=\sum_{n=\mu+1}^{\infty}c_nz^{s_n-s_\mu},$$

which satisfies the equality (11). According to Lemma 1, all the exponents s_n-s_μ belong to the semi-group G:

$$s_n-s_\mu=m_1r_1+m_2r_2,\qquad (m_1,m_2)\in M\subseteq\mathbb{Z}_+^2\setminus\{0\},$$

for some set M such that the map $n\mapsto(m_1,m_2)$ is a bijection from $\mathbb{N}\setminus\{1,\dots,\mu\}$ to M. Hence,

$$\psi=\sum_{(m_1,m_2)\in M}c_{m_1,m_2}z^{m_1r_1+m_2r_2}=\sum_{(m_1,m_2)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^2\setminus\{0\}}c_{m_1,m_2}z^{m_1r_1+m_2r_2}$$

(in the last series one puts $c_{m_1,m_2}=0$, if $(m_1,m_2)\notin M$).

Now we define a natural linear map $\sigma:\mathbb{C}[[z^G]]\to\mathbb{C}[[z_1,z_2]]_*$ from the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra of generalized power series with exponents in G to the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra of Taylor series in two variables without a constant term,

$$\sigma:\sum_{\gamma=m_1r_1+m_2r_2\in G}a_\gamma z^\gamma\mapsto\sum_{\gamma=m_1r_1+m_2r_2\in G}a_\gamma z_1^{m_1}z_2^{m_2}.$$

As follows from the linear independence of the generators r_1,r_2 over $\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\sigma(\eta_1\eta_2)=\sigma(\eta_1)\sigma(\eta_2)\qquad\forall\eta_1,\eta_2\in\mathbb{C}[[z^G]],$$

hence σ is an isomorphism. The differentiation $\delta:\mathbb{C}[[z^G]]\to\mathbb{C}[[z^G]]$ naturally induces a linear bijective map Δ of $\mathbb{C}[[z_1,z_2]]_*$ to itself,

$$\Delta:\sum_{\gamma\in G}a_\gamma z_1^{m_1}z_2^{m_2}\mapsto\sum_{\gamma\in G}\gamma\,a_\gamma z_1^{m_1}z_2^{m_2},$$

which clearly satisfies $\Delta \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \delta$, so that the following commutative diagramme holds:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}[[z^G]] & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathbb{C}[[z^G]] \\ \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \sigma \\ \mathbb{C}[[z_1, z_2]]_* & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \mathbb{C}[[z_1, z_2]]_* \end{array}$$

Thus we have the representation

$$\tilde{\psi} = \sigma(\psi) = \sum_{\gamma \in G} c_\gamma z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2}$$

of the formal solution ψ of (12) by a multivariate Taylor series, where $c_\gamma = c_{m_1,m_2}$ for every $\gamma = m_1 r_1 + m_2 r_2$. Now we apply the map σ to the both sides of the equality (11),

$$L(\delta)\psi + L'(z, \delta)\psi + N(z, z^\nu \psi_0, z^\nu \psi_1, \ldots, z^\nu \psi_m) = 0,$$

and obtain a relation for $\tilde{\psi}$:

$$L(\Delta)\tilde{\psi} + \widetilde{L}(z_1, z_2, \Delta)\tilde{\psi} + \widetilde{N}(z_1, z_2, z_1^{k_1} z_2^{k_2}\tilde{\psi}, z_1^{k_1} z_2^{k_2}\tilde{\psi}_1, \ldots, z_1^{k_1} z_2^{k_2}\tilde{\psi}_m) = 0, \tag{13}$$

where $\tilde{\psi}_i = \sigma(\psi_i) = (\Delta + s_\mu)^i \tilde{\psi}$, and

a) $\widetilde{L}(z_1, z_2, \Delta)$ is a finite linear combination of monomials $z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} (\Delta + s_\mu)^i$ which satisfy

$$\mathrm{Re}(l_1 r_1 + l_2 r_2) \geqslant (i - p)k,$$

furthermore $\widetilde{L}(0, 0, \Delta) \equiv 0$;

b) $\widetilde{N}(z_1, z_2, u_0, \ldots, u_m)$ is a polynomial, and $\widetilde{N}(0, 0, u_0, \ldots, u_m) \equiv 0$;

c) $\nu = (m - p)k = k_1 r_1 + k_2 r_2$.

4 Banach spaces of multivariate Taylor series and the implicit mapping theorem

In this section we conclude the proof of Theorem 2 establishing the corresponding required properties for the multivariate Taylor series $\tilde{\psi}$, which represents the generalized power series ψ and satisfies the relation (13). We use the dilatation method based on the implicit mapping theorem for Banach spaces. This was originally used by Malgrange for proving Theorem 1, as well as by C. Zhang [16] in a further generalization of this theorem for q-difference-differential equations.

Let us define the following Banach spaces H^j of (formal) Taylor series in two variables without a constant term:

$$H^j = \Big\{ \eta = \sum_{\gamma \in G} a_\gamma z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} \mid \sum_{\gamma \in G} \frac{|\gamma|^j}{|\Gamma(\gamma/k)|} |a_\gamma| < +\infty \Big\}, \qquad j = 0, 1, \ldots, p,$$

with the norm

$$\|\eta\|_j = \sum_{\gamma \in G} \frac{|\gamma|^j}{|\Gamma(\gamma/k)|} |a_\gamma|.$$

(The completeness of each H^j is checked in a way similar to that how one checks the completeness of the space l_2; see, for example, [4, Ch. 6, §4].) One clearly has $H^p \subset H^{p-1} \ldots \subset H^0$ and

$$\Delta + s_\mu : H^j \to H^{j-1}, \qquad j = 1, \ldots, p.$$

Therefore, $(\Delta + s_\mu)^p$ maps H^p to H^0, whereas $(\Delta + s_\mu)^i$ for $i > p$ may map H^p outside H^0. However, linear operators $z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} (\Delta + s_\mu)^i$ with suitable l_1, l_2 possess the following property.

Lemma 3. *Let l_1, l_2 be such that* $\mathrm{Re}(l_1 r_1 + l_2 r_2) \geqslant (i-p)k$. *Then*

$$z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} (\Delta + s_\mu)^i : H^p \to H^0$$

is a continous linear operator.

Proof. Let $\eta = \sum_{\gamma \in G} a_\gamma z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} \in H^p$. Then, by definition,

$$\sum_{\gamma \in G} \frac{|\gamma + s_\mu|^p}{|\Gamma(\gamma/k)|} |a_\gamma| < +\infty,$$

therefore

$$a_\gamma = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma/k)}{(\gamma + s_\mu)^p} A_\gamma,$$

where $\sum_{\gamma \in G} A_\gamma$ is an absolutely convergent series. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} (\Delta + s_\mu)^i(\eta) &= \sum_{\gamma \in G} (\gamma + s_\mu)^i a_\gamma z_1^{m_1 + l_1} z_2^{m_2 + l_2} = \sum_{\gamma > \gamma'} (\gamma - \gamma' + s_\mu)^i a_{\gamma - \gamma'} z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} = \\ &= \sum_{\gamma > \gamma'} (\gamma - \gamma' + s_\mu)^{i-p} \Gamma(\gamma/k - \gamma'/k) A_{\gamma - \gamma'} z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we write $\gamma > \gamma'$ for $\gamma = m_1 r_1 + m_2 r_2$, $\gamma' = l_1 r_1 + l_2 r_2$, if $m_1 \geqslant l_1$, $m_2 \geqslant l_2$ and $(m_1, m_2) \neq (l_1, l_2)$.

To check the inclusion $z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} (\Delta + s_\mu)^i(\eta) \in H^0$, we should prove the absolute convergence of the series

$$\sum_{\gamma > \gamma'} (\gamma - \gamma' + s_\mu)^{i-p} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma/k - \gamma'/k)}{\Gamma(\gamma/k)} A_{\gamma - \gamma'}. \tag{14}$$

Since for $\gamma \to \infty$, $\mathrm{Re}\,\gamma > 0$, and a fixed γ', one has (see [2, Ch. I, §1.4])

$$\frac{\Gamma(\gamma/k - \gamma'/k)}{\Gamma(\gamma/k)} \sim C(\gamma - \gamma')^{-\gamma'/k},$$

a general term of the series (14) is equivalent to $C(\gamma - \gamma')^{i-p-\gamma'/k} A_{\gamma - \gamma'}$. This implies the convergence of this series, as $\mathrm{Re}(i - p - \gamma'/k) \leqslant 0$ under the assumptions of the lemma. We also have

$$\|z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} (\Delta + s_\mu)^i(\eta)\|_0 \leqslant C_1 \sum_{\gamma \in G} |A_\gamma| \leqslant C_2 \|\eta\|_p,$$

whence the continuity of $z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} (\Delta + s_\mu)^i$ follows. □

Lemma 4. *For any η_1, $\eta_2 \in H^0$, one has $\eta_1 \eta_2 \in H^0$ and $\|\eta_1 \eta_2\|_0 \leqslant C \|\eta_1\|_0 \|\eta_2\|_0$.*

Proof. Let $\eta_1 = \sum_{\gamma \in G} a_\gamma z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2}$ and $\eta_2 = \sum_{\gamma \in G} b_\gamma z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2}$. Then

$$\eta_1 \eta_2 = \sum_{\gamma \in G} \Big(\sum_{\tilde\gamma < \gamma} a_{\tilde\gamma} b_{\gamma - \tilde\gamma} \Big) z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2},$$

so that

$$\|\eta_1 \eta_2\|_0 = \sum_{\gamma \in G} \frac{|\sum_{\tilde\gamma < \gamma} a_{\tilde\gamma} b_{\gamma - \tilde\gamma}|}{|\Gamma(\gamma/k)|}.$$

Using the well known relation

$$\frac{\Gamma(\tilde\gamma/k)\Gamma(\gamma/k - \tilde\gamma/k)}{\Gamma(\gamma/k)} = \int_0^1 (1-t)^{\frac{\tilde\gamma}{k} - 1} t^{\frac{\gamma - \tilde\gamma}{k} - 1}\, dt,$$

we have

$$\left| \frac{\Gamma(\tilde\gamma/k)\Gamma(\gamma/k - \tilde\gamma/k)}{\Gamma(\gamma/k)} \right| \leqslant \int_0^1 (1-t)^{\mathrm{Re}\,\frac{\tilde\gamma}{k} - 1} t^{\mathrm{Re}\,\frac{\gamma - \tilde\gamma}{k} - 1}\, dt \leqslant C$$

for any $\tilde\gamma < \gamma \in G$. Hence,

$$\frac{1}{|\Gamma(\gamma/k)|} \leqslant \frac{C}{|\Gamma(\tilde\gamma/k)\Gamma(\gamma/k-\tilde\gamma/k)|},$$

which implies

$$\|\eta_1\eta_2\|_0 \leqslant C \sum_{\gamma\in G}\sum_{\tilde\gamma<\gamma} \frac{|a_{\tilde\gamma}|}{|\Gamma(\tilde\gamma/k)|}\,\frac{|b_{\gamma-\tilde\gamma}|}{|\Gamma(\gamma/k-\tilde\gamma/k)|} = C\|\eta_1\|_0\|\eta_2\|_0.$$

□

Now we conclude the proof of Theorem 2 by the implicit mapping theorem for Banach spaces which we recall below (see [4, Th. 10.2.1]).

Let $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{G}$ be Banach spaces, A an open subset of the direct product $\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{F}$ and $h : A \to \mathcal{G}$ a continuously differentiable mapping. Consider a point $(x_0, y_0) \in A$ such that $h(x_0, y_0) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial h}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0)$ is a bijective linear mapping from $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathcal{G}$.

Then there are a neighbourhood $U_0 \subset \mathcal{E}$ of the point x_0 and a unique continuous mapping $g : U_0 \to \mathcal{F}$ such that $g(x_0) = y_0$, $(x, g(x)) \in A$, and $h(x, g(x)) = 0$ for any $x \in U_0$.

We will apply this theorem to the Banach spaces $\mathbb{C}$, H^p, H^0, and to the mapping $h : \mathbb{C}\times H^p \to H^0$ defined by

$$h : (\lambda,\eta) \mapsto L(\Delta)\eta + \widetilde{L}(\lambda z_1, \lambda z_2, \Delta)\eta + \widetilde{N}(\lambda z_1, \lambda z_2,\, \lambda^{k_1+k_2} z_1^{k_1} z_2^{k_2}\eta, \dots, \lambda^{k_1+k_2} z_1^{k_1} z_2^{k_2}(\Delta+s_\mu)^m\eta),$$

with L, $\widetilde{L}$, and $\widetilde{N}$ coming from (13). This mapping is continuously differentiable by Lemmas 3, 4, moreover $h(0,0) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial h}{\partial \eta}(0,0) = L(\Delta)$ is a bijective linear mapping from H^p to H^0. Therefore, there are $\rho > 0$ and $\eta_\rho \in H^p$ such that

$$L(\Delta)\eta_\rho + \widetilde{L}(\rho z_1, \rho z_2, \Delta)\eta_\rho + \widetilde{N}(\rho z_1, \rho z_2,\, \rho^{k_1+k_2} z_1^{k_1} z_2^{k_2}\eta_\rho, \dots, \rho^{k_1+k_2} z_1^{k_1} z_2^{k_2}(\Delta+s_\mu)^m\eta_\rho) = 0.$$

Making the change of variables $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (\frac{z_1}{\rho}, \frac{z_2}{\rho})$, which induces an automorphism $\eta(z_1, z_2) \mapsto \eta(\frac{z_1}{\rho}, \frac{z_2}{\rho})$ of $\mathbb{C}[[z_1, z_2]]_*$ commuting with Δ, one can easily see that the above relation implies that the power series $\eta_\rho(\frac{z_1}{\rho}, \frac{z_2}{\rho})$ satisfies the same equality (13) as $\tilde\psi = \sum_{\gamma\in G} c_\gamma z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2}$ does. Hence, these two series coincide (the coefficients of a series satisfying (13) are determined uniquely by this equality) and

$$\sum_{\gamma\in G} \frac{c_\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma/k)} z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2}$$

has a non-zero radius of convergence. This implies (substitute $z_1 = z^{r_1}$, $z_2 = z^{r_2}$ remembering that $\operatorname{Re} r_{1,2} > 0$) the convergence of the series

$$\sum_{\gamma\in G} \frac{c_\gamma}{\Gamma(1+\gamma/k)} z^\gamma = \sum_{n=\mu+1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{\Gamma(1+(s_n-s_\mu)/k)} z^{s_n-s_\mu}$$

for any z from a sector S of sufficiently small radius with the vertex at the origin and of the opening less than 2π, whence Theorem 2 follows.

Remark 2. From Theorem 2 one deduces the following estimate for the coefficients c_n of the formal series solution (2) of (1):

$$|c_n| \leqslant A\, B^{\operatorname{Re} s_n} |\Gamma(1+s_n/k)|,$$

for some $A, B > 0$.

References

[1] M. Aschenbrenner, L. van den Dries, J. van der Hoeven, Asymptotic Differential Algebra and Model Theory of Transseries, 2015 (arXiv).

[2] H. Bateman, A. Erdélyi, Higher Transcendental Functions, vol. 1, McGraw–Hill, 1953.

[3] A. D. Bruno, I. V. Goryuchkina, Asymptotic expansions of the solutions of the sixth Painlevé equation, *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.* (2010), 1–104.

[4] J. Dieudonné, Foundations of Modern Analysis, Academic Press, 1960.

[5] G. A. Edgar, Transseries for beginners, *Real Analysis Exchange* **35** (2010), 253–310.

[6] R. R. Gontsov, I. V. Goryuchkina, On the convergence of generalized power series satisfying an algebraic ODE, *Asympt. Anal.* **93**:4 (2015), 311–325.

[7] D. Yu. Grigoriev, M. F. Singer, Solving ordinary differential equations in terms of series with real exponents, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **327**:1 (1991), 329–351.

[8] D. Guzzetti, Tabulation of Painlevé 6 transcendents, *Nonlinearity* **25** (2012), 3235–3276.

[9] J. van der Hoeven, Transseries and Real Differential Algebra, *Lect. Notes Math.* **1888**, Springer, 2006.

[10] E. Maillet, Sur les séries divergentes et les équations différentielles, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* **3** (1903), 487–518.

[11] B. Malgrange, Sur le théorème de Maillet, *Asympt. Anal.* **2** (1989), 1–4.

[12] A. Parusnikova, Asymptotic expansions of solutions to the fifth Painlevé equation in neighbourhoods of singular and nonsingular points of the equation, In: "Formal and Analytic Solutions of Differential and Difference equations", *Banach Center Publ.* **97** (2012), 113–124.

[13] J.-P. Ramis, Dévissage Gevrey, *Astérisque* **59/60** (1978), 173–204.

[14] S. Shimomura, A family of solutions of a nonlinear ordinary differential equation and its application to Painlevé equations (III), (V) and (VI)., *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **39** (1987), 649–662.

[15] Y. Sibuya, Linear Differential Equations in the Complex Domain: Problems of Analytic Continuation, *Transl. Math. Monographs* **82**, A.M.S., 1990.

[16] C. Zhang, Sur un théorème du type de Maillet–Malgrange pour les équations q-différences-différentielles, *Asympt. Anal.* **17**:4 (1998), 309–314.